



NOTICE OF GENERAL RULE EXEMPTION

SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND BUILDING
976 OSOS STREET • ROOM 200 • SAN LUIS OBISPO • CALIFORNIA 93408 • (805) 781-5600

Project Title and No.: Forage Minor Use Permit; DRC 2013-00063

Project Location(Specific address): 3355 See Canyon Road	Project Applicant & Phone No.: James Forage
Project Location(County): San Luis Obispo	Applicant Address (specific): 2142 Ponticello Drive, Henderson, NV

Name of Public Agency Approving Project: County of San Luis Obispo

Description of Nature, Purpose and Beneficiaries of Project

A Minor Use Permit to allow an exception to the design standards for a secondary dwelling to be located more than the maximum distance requirement of 250 feet from the primary dwelling and site disturbance of over an acre. The secondary dwelling will be located approximately 1,000 feet from the primary residence. The project will result in the disturbance of 1.23 acres of an approximately 209 acre parcel.

Exempt Status/Findings: This project is covered by the general rule that CEQA applies only to projects which have the potential for causing a significant effect on the environment. It can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that this project may have a significant effect on the environment; therefore, the activity is not subject to CEQA. [Reference: State CEQA Guidelines sec. 15061(b)(3), General Rule Exemption]

Reasons why project is exempt:

The proposed primary dwelling will be located in a clearing using an existing driveway. Site disturbance will be located within the clearing with minimal access improvements. No tree removal will occur. A Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan will be required due to disturbance of over an acre to address sedimentation, erosion and stormwater issues. No measures beyond those required by County ordinances are necessary to address the environmental impacts associated with the proposed project.

Additional Information: Additional information pertaining to this notice of exemption may be obtained by reviewing the second page of this document and by contacting the Environmental Coordinator, 976 Osos St., Rm 200, San Luis Obispo, CA 93408 (805) 781-5600.

Stephanie Fuhs

(805)781-5721

Lead Agency Contact Person

Telephone

If filed by applicant:	
1.	Attach certified document of exemption finding
2.	Has a notice of exemption been filed by the public agency approving the project?
	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>

Signature Stephanie Fuhs Date May 21, 2014

Name (Print) Stephanie Fuhs Title Planner III



REVIEW FOR EXEMPTION / ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

Project Title & No: Forage Minor Use Permit DRC2013-00063

Pursuant to section 15061 of the State California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines, the preliminary review of a project includes a determination as to whether a project is exempt from CEQA. This checklist represents a summary of this project's review for exemption.

	YES	NO
1. Does this project fall within any exempt class as listed in sections 15301 through 15329 of the State CEQA Guidelines?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2. Is there a reasonable possibility that the project could have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
3. Is the project inconsistent with any Federal, State, or local law or administrative requirement relating to the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4. Will the project involve substantial public controversy regarding environmental issues?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
5. Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
6. Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term environmental goals to the disadvantage of achieving long-term environmental goals? (A short-term impact on the environment is one which occurs in a relatively brief, definitive period of time while long-term impacts will endure well into the future.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
7. Does the project have adverse impacts which are individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant? Cumulatively significant means that the incremental effects of an individual project are substantially adverse when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
8. Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

On the basis of this initial evaluation, I find that the proposed project does not have the potential to cause a significant effect on the environment, and is therefore exempt from CEQA.

Stephanie Fuhs

for Ellen Carroll, Environmental Coordinator

May 21, 2014

Date